





# IMPLEMENTATION TOOL MATRIX

2015 - 2018



### **DEDICATION**

To all the Radiation Health Workers in Africa

A fundamental concern for others in our individual and community lives would go a long way in making the world the better place we so passionately dreamt of.

Nelson Mandela



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Dedication	i
Table of contents	ii
List of abbreviations	iii
Foreword	1
Preface	2
Acknowledgment	3
Background	4
Introduction	5
AFROSAFE <sub>RAD</sub> Declaration	6
AFROSAFE <sub>RAD</sub> Document and Implementation tool matrix	8
AFROSAFE <sub>RAD</sub> implementation tool strategic objective 1	10
AFROSAFE <sub>RAD</sub> implementation tool strategic objective 2	12
AFROSAFE <sub>RAD</sub> implementation tool strategic objective 3	19
AFROSAFE <sub>RAD</sub> implementation tool strategic objective 4	22
AFROSAFE <sub>RAD</sub> implementation tool strategic objective 5	25
AFROSAFE <sub>RAD</sub> implementation tool strategic objective 6	27
APPENDICES	
1. References	30
2. Signed copy of AFROSAFERAD Declaration	31
3. List of participating Institutions and professional bodies	32



#### List of abbreviations

ACR American College of Radiology

AfSPI African Society of Paediatric Imaging

**ASR** African Society of Radiology

**BSS** Basic Safety Standards

**CBRN** Chemical Biological Radiological and Nuclear

**CoE** Centre of Excellence

CME Continuous Medical Education

**DDIRM** Department of Diagnostic Imaging and Radiation Medicine

**DRLs** Diagnostic Reference levels

**EAARP** East African Association of Radiation Protection

East and Central Africa

**ESR** European Society of Radiology

**ECUREI** Ernest Cook Ultrasound Research and Education Institute

FAMPO Federation of African Medical Physics Organization

IAEA International Atomic Energy Agency

ICRP International Commission on Radiological Protection

IOMP International Organization of Medical Physics IRPA International Radiation Protection Association

ISR International Society of Radiology

ISRRT International Society of Radiographers and Radiological Technologists

**JKUAT** Jomo Kenyatta University of Agriculture and Technology

KAR
 Kenya Association of Radiology
 KeBS
 Kenya Bureau of Standards
 KMTC
 Kenya Medical Training Institute
 KNEB
 Kenya Nuclear Electricity Board
 KNH
 Kenyatta National Hospital

M & E Monitoring and Evaluation

**MoH** Ministry of Health

NACOSTI National Commission of Science and Technology
 PACORI Pan African Congress of Radiology and Imaging
 RASIMS Radiation Safety Information Management System

RCR Royal College of Radiologists
RPB Radiation Protection Board
SORK Society of Radiography in Kenya

UNSCEAR United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effects of Atomic Radiation

**UoN-CHS** University of Nairobi, College of Health Sciences

**WFPI** World Federation of Paediatric Imaging

**WHO** World Health Organization



#### **Foreword**

Among all the subjects that are fundamental to the practice of radiology, the most important is radiation safety. The authors of this booklet have set out to perpetuate what has been found wanting for many years. They need to be commended for their perspicacity in producing this invaluable aid towards first steps of radiation safety. In my 27 years working as a radiologist, I have often thought about why no one in Africa has taken the initiative to expand on the issues relating to radiation safety and in particular how to tackle the challenges in a manner that is easy to read and comprehend. The expectations of and the need for such guidelines are overwhelming. The objectives set out by AFROSAFE, and the stated strategic implementation tools are a first step for the radiation health worker and his fellow clinicians to monitor themselves and safequard the general public by ensuring the use of radiation in medicine within Africa is beneficial. While these are just the first steps, the implementation of these tools is imperative and with determined country-specific AFROSAFE, teams, I feel assured that radiation safety will be enhanced.

On a subject so broad, decisions must be made as to omissions and inclusions. This booklet very concisely, but simply, covers all aspects of radiation safety applicable to users.

I extend my congratulations for this unique accomplishment to the editors, publishers and sponsors who have contributed towards the production and completion of this Implementation Matrix Tool booklet within the scope and purpose that it is meant to fulfill.

As President of African Society of Radiology, I feel proud that the continental initiative has started here in Kenya. I would like to see wide distribution of this publication beyond the borders of Kenya extending throughout Africa.

Prof. Sudhir Vinayak President, African Society of Radiology (ASR) 2015



#### **Preface**

AFROSAFE<sub>RAD</sub> is a campaign made by radiation health workers to unite and address safety issues that arise from the use of radiation in medicine in Africa. This campaign is based on the Joint position statement by the IAEA and WHO known as the Bonn Call-for-action.

The AFROSAFE<sub>RAD</sub> campaign was launched at the 8th Biennial Pan African Congress of Radiology and Imaging (PACORI) in Feb 2015 at Nairobi, Kenya. Present in this meeting were radiologists, radiographers, medical physicists, radio-oncologists, equipment manufacturers as well as international representatives and dignitaries from WHO, IAEA, ICRP, ECR, WFPI, RAD-AID and Image Gently. Professional bodies present included ASR, ISRRT and FAMPO. The campaign extends to involve patient lobby groups.

In this campaign we declare that we shall encourage adherence to standards, policies, strategies and activities for the promotion of radiation safety and for maximization of benefits from radiological medical procedures

In Africa we have yet to establish national and/or institutional diagnostic reference levels (DRLs) which are important for optimization of radiation in medicine. Another major challenge is that medical physicists who are important in maintaining quality assurance of the radiation equipment are not incorporated into the medical health systems. Furthermore there is inadequate research on issues related to radiation use in Africa.

The interim steering committee for AFROSAFE\_RAD appointed during the February, 2015 PACORI congress and ratified during the ASR 2015 conference has developed the AFROSAFE\_RAD document and implementation tool matrix that countries in Africa can use as a template to develop their own radiation safety programs and timelines.

The AFROSAFE Implementation Matrix Tool booklet gives an outline of the various activities that will guide all the African countries towards the successful delivery of this campaign. We anticipate that through  $\mathsf{AFROSAFE}_\mathsf{RAD}$ , we shall ensure that the benefits outweigh the risks for all medical radiation exposures in Africa.

Dr. Rose Nyabanda AFROSAFE<sub>RAD</sub> steering committee member and Kenyan Champion PACORI president 2013-2015



## **Acknowledgments**

I would like to sincerely acknowledge with deep gratitude all those who participated in the process that led to the AFROSAFE<sub>RAD</sub> Campaign and the development of the Implementation Tool Matrix. Space may not allow mentioning each of you individually but your contributions and expertise greatly assisted in making this booklet possible.

I particularly want to thank the following for their invaluable contributions towards this book. Dr. Nicholas Muraguri -Director Medical Services, Dr Rose Nyabanda- AFROSAFE<sub>RAD</sub> Kenyan champion, Prof Michael Kawooya-ECUREI, Dr. Jessica Wambani –Chief Radiologist KNH, Mr. Joe Kamande –RPB, CBRN, Mr. Arthur Koteng –RPB, Dr. Laban Thiga- MoH, Dr. David Otwoma- NACOSTI, Mr. Luke Kanamu –KMTC, Dr. Veronica Mandukuchair KAR and Mr. Kenneth Kariuki- president SORK.

In addition, I would like to thank the AFROSAFE steering committee who participated in several roundtable meetings in order to bring this book to reality. They include Dr. Patricia Othieno, Mr. Antony Mamati, Mrs. Margaret Njuwe, Ms Catherine Muchuki, Mrs. Veronica Njagi, Mr. Caesar Barare, Mr. Felix Wanjala and Mr. Collins Omondi-KEBS.

The AFROSAFE\_RAD steering committee would like to pay special tribute to the various stakeholders for their participation and ownership of this process. These include MoH, KNH, UoN-CHS, PACORI, RPB, CBRN, KAR, SORK, ASR, ISRRT, EAARP, NACOSTI, KEBS, KNEB, Medical Imaging Sciences Department –KMTC and JKUAT.

I would also like to thank the affiliates, partners and friends of  $\mathsf{AFROSAFE}_{\mathsf{RAD}}$  for their invaluable contribution, advice and support. These includes WHO, IAEA, ISR, ISRRT, ESR, Euro Safe, IRPA, ICRP, Image Gently, RAD-AID, IOMP and WFPI.

It would not have been possible to print this book without the financial support of our sponsors. In this regard, I would like to thank Philips East Africa, Meditec Systems Ltd, GE Healthcare, Bayer Health care, Colour International Ltd for their generous contributions.

Dr. Gladys Mwango AFROSAFE<sub>RAD</sub> steering committee chair PACORI general secretary 2015-2017



## **Background**

The use of radiation in medicine has led to major improvements in the diagnosis and treatment of human diseases. Annually, worldwide, more than 3,600 million X-ray examinations are performed, 37 million nuclear medicine procedures are carried out, and 7.5 million radiotherapy treatments are given¹. As the benefits for patients gain recognition, the use of radiation in medicine increases.

The effects of radiation risks are probabilistic in nature. According to the American Cancer Society, lack of scientific data makes it difficult to determine a precise risk of cancer in the future from radiation exposure today, but the increase in the cancer incidence rate is estimated to about 0.17 percent per rem of radiation dose based on the effects observed with high doses. It is impossible to say with certainty that any single person will acquire a radiation-induced cancer<sup>2</sup>. Therefore, in all imaging methods using X-radiations, the equipment operator must adjust the characteristics of the X-ray beam to optimize the critical balance between image quality and exposure to the patient.

The AFROSAFE<sub>RAD</sub> campaign aims to raise awareness on the need of radiation safety in medicine to prevent unnecessary medical radiation exposures. The campaign will educate the African populace on the essential role of radiology and medical imaging in the provision of quality healthcare and the high educational and professional standards required of all staff working in radiology and medical imaging. This will be achieved through well strategized programs through the deliberate usage of the AFROSAFE<sub>RAD</sub> operation matrix outlined in this book.

Mr. Luke G. Kanamu AFROSAFE<sub>RAD</sub> steering committee member



## **INTRODUCTION**

Traditionally it is the role of regulatory authorities to focus on the safety of the working environment. This is achieved through legal means that ensure radiological facilities meet expected safety and performance standards. An operational radiological facility is required to appoint a qualified radiation safety officer(RSO) who must ensure that all radiation workers are monitored for radiation exposure, while patients undergoing radiological examinations receive the lowest possible dose area product (DAP) in the region of interest (ROI). Furthermore, the RSO must also endeavour to ensure that this radiation exposure is kept at a minimum through various techniques such as dose distribution, regular quality control checks and continuous professional development. The type of radiological equipment also plays a significant role in radiological protection and safety. There has been an evolution of radiological equipment from hand-held fluoroscopic medical imaging units which carried a significant risk of radiation injuries to radiation health workers and patients to digital units which allow dose reduction, image optimization, recording and computing of radiation dose to the patient.

Modern and advanced radiological imaging equipment such as the multidetector CT scan, interventional radiological procedures and cardiac catheterization expose patients to high doses of radiation. While this is much appreciated within the medical fratenity, the IAEA and WHO are concerned about the high doses to patients. There has been found a need therefore, to carry out studies to develop Diagnostic Reference Levels (DRLs) for the various medical radiological procedures. The development of institutional and national DRLs will form a major milestone in medical radiation protection. The first level of patient protection is the Justification of the examination by the prescribing practitioner and Optimization of medical images by the radiation health worker. Developed DRLs form baseline exposure level data and enable further reduction of radiation dose levels as well as comparison between institutions and countries.

 $\mathsf{AFROSAFE}_{\mathsf{RAD}}$  endeavours to encourage self regulation by medical professionals in radiology through promoting a radiation safety culture and creating radiation safety awareness in patients and the public.

J. K. Kamande, OGW
Head,ECA CBRN- CoE Secretariat
AND SECRETARY, KENYA RADIATION PROTECTION BOARD



## AFROSAFE<sub>RAD</sub> DECLARATION (17th FEBRUARY 2015)

#### **Preamble**

In the past few decades, use of radiation for diagnosis and treatment of human diseases has expanded enormously worldwide. Modern technologies have made new applications safer but their inappropriate use can lead to unnecessary or unintended radiation exposures and risks. The era of helical multi-detector CT has revolutionized imaging. CT Image acquisition has become amazingly faster, with images of superb resolution and detail acquired within a few seconds. This high image quality has attracted more CT requisitions from referrers. This increased demand has been associated with more inappropriate imaging and frequency of complications like skin injuries<sup>3</sup>. Furthermore, the imaging protocols are not standardized, and often there are no child-friendly protocols albeit the higher sensitivity of pediatric tissues to radiation. Consequently, medical radiation exposures are now the largest contributor to the exposure of the population to radiation from artificial sources (95%), only exceeded worldwide by natural background as a source of exposure.

For Africa, radiation safety is an emerging but important health challenge. Some causes of inappropriate imaging are peculiar to Africa whereas others are similar to those in other continents.

All over the world, there has been a rallying call for radiation health workers to adhere to the principles of radiation protection and radiation safety. This has lead to numerous successful campaigns such as Image Gently and Image Wisely in the USA. In 2012, during a conference on Radiation Safety by WHO and IAEA, the Bonn Call for Action was launched<sup>4</sup>. This was followed by the launch of EuroSafe by the European Congress of Radiology in 2014<sup>5</sup>. Africa cannot afford to be left behind and this year, AFROSAFE was launched at the 8<sup>th</sup> biennial Pan African Congress of Radiology and Imaging (PACORI) on 17<sup>th</sup> February 20156.

Thus, AFROSAFE is a campaign made by radiation health workers in Africa for Africa. Through this Campaign we unite with a common goal to identify and address issues arising from radiation protection in medicine in Africa and we shall promote adherence to policies, strategies and activities for the promotion of radiation safety and for maximization of benefits from radiological medical procedures.



The following is the declaration that Radiation Health Workers in Africa committed to adhere to on 17<sup>th</sup> February 2015.

#### AFROSAFE DECLARATION

We, the radiation health workers of Africa declare that we shall, through the creation of the  $\mathsf{AFROSAFE}_\mathsf{RAD}$  campaign, promote the safe and beneficial use of radiation through the following actions:

- Ensuring that benefits outweigh risks in all radiological medical procedures
- 2. Fostering regular radiation dose monitoring, recording and reporting
- 3. Campaign for the establishment and implementation of regulations to standardize the practice of radiation health workers
- Promote and assist in the development of policies, guidelines and appropriate criteria for the safe use of radiation in health care at all levels
- 5. Advise and advocate for the establishment of procurement procedures of radiological equipment as per national guidelines
- 6. Promote and assist in the conducting of regular professional development and training on radiation safety
- 7. Advocate for the creation of public awareness on radiation safety
- 8. Lobby for research funding in radiation safety
- Stimulate and uphold the development of a radiation safety culture in health care settings

(Signed copy of actual declaration is found in the appendix)



## AFROSAFE DOCUMENT AND IMPLEMENTATION TOOL MATRIX

AFROSAFE<sub>RAD</sub> is a campaign made by radiation health workers in Africa.

Its main objective is to unite with a common goal to identify and address issues arising from radiation protection in medicine in Africa

The genesis of this campaign is the Joint position statement by the IAEA and WHO known as the Bonn Call-for-action which was inaugurated in December 2012.

## Aim/ Goal of AFROSAFERAD

Through this Campaign we state that we shall promote adherence to policies, strategies and activities for the promotion of radiation safety and for maximization of benefits from radiological medical procedures

#### VISION

All radiation-based medical procedures in Africa are beneficial.

#### MISSION STATEMENT

To ensure that the benefits outweigh the risks for all medical radiation exposures in Africa

#### **SWOT ANALYSIS**

## Challenges of radiation safety in Africa-

- Inadequate / Lack of adherence to institutional clinical practice guidelines
- 2. Minimal funding and engagement in radiological research
- 3. Lack of policies and regulation in the training and practice of radiation medicine profession.
- 4. Inadequate awareness of the radiology safety policies
- 5. Non-adherence to laid-down procedures for procurement, maintenance, decommissioning and disposal of radiology equipment.

## Strengths

- 1. Available training institution
- 2. Established professional bodies
- 3. Radiation-monitoring of workers, public and environment
- 4. Existing regional and international linkages; Chemical, biological, radiological and nuclear center (CBRN), WHO, IAEA



#### Weaknesses

- 1. Inadequate knowledge, poor attitude and practice in radiation safety
- 2. Financial constraints for quality assurance programs
- 3. Inequitable distribution of radiation facilities and skilled personnel
- 4. Absence of regulatory bodies in some countries.
- 5. Lack of guidelines and imaging appropriateness criteria.

### **Opportunities**

- 1. Existing linkages and collaborations with international bodies and radiological societies
- 2. Networking within Africa
- 3. Similar campaigns and interventions in other parts of the world like EuroSafe, Image Gently
- 4. Emerging economies

## **Threats**

- 1. Political instability and insecurity
- 2. Rapid increase in population growth, increase in disease burden and emerging diseases.



To strengthen the overall radiation protection of patients, health workers and public		
<ul> <li>a) Enhance quality assurance programs in medical exposures</li> <li>b) Promote national registries of all radiation health workers, their annual cumulative doses and the radiation-emitting equipment</li> <li>c) Standardize radiation monitoring of workers and radiological facilities</li> <li>d) Promote regular monitoring and documentation of radiation sources by the institutions and submission to regulatory bodies</li> <li>To ensure that all medical exposures are</li> </ul>		
documented and are within the International Basic safety requirements		
Bonn call 8 and 10		
Number of quality assurance programs in medical exposures Number of countries with updated national registries of all radiation health workers, their annual cumulative dose and radiation-emitted equipment		
The regulatory bodies to develop a data base for all radiation health workers, their annual cumulative doses, and radiation emitting equipment  Conduct sensitization seminars/workshops for all radiation workers		
ACTIVITY TIMELINE		
Develop QA programs in medical exposure	6-12 months	
Develop and update data base for all radiation health workers, their annual cumulative doses, and radiation emitting equipment	continuous	
Conduct sensitization seminars/ workshops for all radiation workers	Periodic	
	patients, health workers and public  a) Enhance quality assurance programedical exposures  b) Promote national registries of all rational the radiation-emitting equiporation and the radiation-emitting equiporation and the radiation-emitting equiporation for adiation monitoring radiological facilities  d) Promote regular monitoring and documentation of radiation source institutions and submission to regular to ensure that all medical exposures documented and are within the Interest and a submission to regular monitoring and documented and are within the Interest and the Interest and the Interest and Interest a	



Resources Required:	Human resource
	Funded budget
Lead Organization:	Regulatory bodies, health institutions
Anticipated Result:	Data base of all radiation health workers, their annual cumulative doses, and radiation emitting equipment
Key partners	Government agencies, WHO, IAEA, ISR, ISRRT, FAMPO
Alignment:	Relevant government / sectoral plans Bonn-call for action 8 and 10 AFROSAFE declaration 1, 2, 3 and 6



Main Objective: 2	Safe and appropriate use of ionizing radiation in medicine		
Specific objective a)	Develop policy guidelines on the safe use of radiation from the community to the national level.		
Goal:	Policy available		
Reference clause:	Bonn call 1, 2, 4, 8 , New International Basic Safety Standards (NEW BSS)		
Performance Measures/ indicators:	Policy documents on safe use of ionizing radiation		
Background/ methodology:	Create awareness for use of evidence-based referral guidelines (RG), avail RG and use the RG e.g the ISR guidelines. Adapt and adopt the international guidelines e.g. the guidelines on pediatric imaging.		
Activities and	ACTIVITY	TIMELINE	
timeline:	Lobby for policy on safe and appropriate use of radiation.	2 months	
	Hold stakeholders meetings to deliberate on priority areas and create awareness	periodic	
	Development of guidelines where none are available	8 months	
	Adoption and dissemination through associations	2 months	
Resources Required:	Meeting logistics Human resource Already published Evidence-based standards and guidelines		
Lead Organizations:	ASR, ISRRT bodies.		
Other organizations:	AfSPI, National Health authorities, biomedical engineers, national health authorities (Ministries of health), regulatory bodies		
Anticipated Result:	Documents and endorsed guidelines.		
Key partners:	WHO, IAEA, ISR, ISRRT		



Relevant government / sectoral plans, NEW BSS	
Bonn-call for action 1, 2, 4, 8	
AFROSAFE declaration 1, 3, 4 and 9	

Main Objective: 2	Safe and appropriate use of ionizing radiation in medicine		
Specific objective b)	To develop regulations to standardize the practice of all radiation workers		
Goal	Regulatory framework in place		
Reference clause	Bonn call for action 8		
Performance Measures/ indicators:	Regulatory framework in place. Number of Actual standards/ Codes developed Number of dissemination forums Level of awareness (survey report)		
Background/ methodology:	All relevant professional bodies to develop/review their codes of practice/conduct Work with national ethics and integrity institutions to review the codes.  Create awareness through focused campaigns in all regions.		
Activities and	ACTIVITY	TIMELINE	
Activities and timeline:	ACTIVITY  Advocate and develop regulations for standardizing radiation workers' practice	TIMELINE 2 months	
	Advocate and develop regulations for standardizing radiation workers'		
	Advocate and develop regulations for standardizing radiation workers' practice	2 months	
	Advocate and develop regulations for standardizing radiation workers' practice  Review any codes that are available	2 months 4 months	
	Advocate and develop regulations for standardizing radiation workers' practice  Review any codes that are available  Launch the codes	2 months 4 months 1 months	
	Advocate and develop regulations for standardizing radiation workers' practice  Review any codes that are available Launch the codes  Awareness campaign	2 months 4 months 1 months 12 months	
timeline:	Advocate and develop regulations for standardizing radiation workers' practice  Review any codes that are available  Launch the codes  Awareness campaign  Monitoring and evaluation  Meeting logistics	2 months 4 months 1 months 12 months	
timeline: Resources Required:	Advocate and develop regulations for standardizing radiation workers' practice  Review any codes that are available  Launch the codes  Awareness campaign  Monitoring and evaluation  Meeting logistics  Consultancy  Professional bodies	2 months 4 months 1 months 12 months periodic	



Key partners:	WHO, IAEA, ISR, ISRRT
Alignment:	BONN CALL-FOR-ACTION 8 AFROSAFE DECLARATION 1, 3, 4 and 9

Main Objective: 2	Safe and appropriate use of ionizing radiation in medicine		
Specific objective c)	to develop and apply evidence-based imaging referral guidelines and appropriateness criteria		
Goal	Use of evidence-based appropriateness criteria and referral guidelines.		
Reference clause	Bonn call for action 1, new BSS		
Performance Measures/indicators:	Proportion of health facilities having imaging referral guidelines (RG) Proportion of health facilities using RG		
Background/ methodology:	Prioritize, Create awareness, adopt and adapt available evidence-based referral guidelines, promote and facilitate availability of guidelines in health facilities, promote their application, encourage and facilitate research on impact of guidelines on clinician's referral practice.		
Activities and	ACTIVITY	TIMELINE	
timeline:	Create awareness of guidelines to all medical professionals	6 months	
	Assist to disseminate and avail already existing evidence-based referral guidelines like the ISR, RCR, Diagnostic Imaging Pathways, ACR, and work with professional societies to adapt where necessary.	6 months	
	Work with national professional societies and other institutions to Educate membership and other clinicians on the guidelines.	continu- ous	
	Monitoring and evaluation for uptake, application, and impact of guidelines on referral practice.	periodic	



Resources Required:	Meeting logistics Consultancy Workshops CMES Trainings Available published evidence-based referral guidelines.	
Lead Organization:	ASR, ISRRT	
Other organizations:	AfSPI, National Health authorities, biomedical engineers, national health authorities (Ministries of health), regulatory bodies	
Anticipated Result:	Referral guidelines in place and adopted Increase of proportion of appropriate referrals Reduction of proportion of inappropriate referrals.	
Key partners:	Professional bodies, training institutions, Universities, Hospitals , Ministry of Health, regulatory authorities	
Alignment:	AFROSAFE DECLARATION 1, 3, 4 and 9	

Main Objective: 2	Safe and appropriate use of ionizing ray	diation in
main Objective: 2	Safe and appropriate use of ionizing radiation in medicine	
Specific objective d)	To incorporate radiation safety modules into medical curricula	
Goal:	Radiation safety modules developed and incorporated	
Reference clause:	Bonn call for action	
Performance Measures/indicators:	Number of relevant medical curricula with incorporated radiation safety modules Total number of hours on radiation safety modules in the curriculum	
Background/ methodology:	Needs assessment Develop guidelines on radiation safety modules	
Activities and	ACTIVITY	TIMELINE
timeline:	Review and analysis	4 months
	Meeting to agree on scope of modules and content	6 months



	Dovolon the actual modules	12 months
	Develop the actual modules	12 MOMINS
	Incorporation into curricula	24 months
Resources Required:	Meeting logistics Stationery, internet and binding Consultancy Workshops CMES	
Lead Organization:	Training institutions, Universities, Teaching Hospitals	
Other organizations	ASR, ISRRT, AFSPI, National Health authorities, biomedical engineers, national health authorities (Ministries of health), regulatory bodies	
Anticipated Result:	Knowledgeable professional utilizing radiation safely, promotion of safety culture.	
Key partners:	Education Ministry, WHO, IAEA, ESR, image gently	
Alignment:	BONN-CALL FOR ACTION 1, 2, 4, 8 AFROSAFE DECLARATION 1, 3, 4 and 9	

Main Objective: 2	Safe and appropriate use of ionizing radiation in medicine		
Specific objective e)	Continuous professional development in radiation safety		
Goal	Updated Knowledge on radiation sat	fety	
Reference clause	Bonn call for action		
Performance Measures/indicators:	Number of radiation safety seminars Number of professionals attending seminars on radiation safety		
Background/ methodology :	Develop and recommend short-courses on radiation safety Conduct accredited CPD activities on radiation safety		
Activities and	ACTIVITY	TIMELINE	
timeline:	Advocacy through professional bodies/ Regulatory bodies	Continuous	
	Stakeholders meeting to develop scope and content of the modules	6 months	
	AFROSAFE NAD	24 months	

Resources Required:	Meeting logistics Workshops CMES
Lead Organization:	Professional bodies: ASR, ISRRT, AfSPI, National Health authorities, biomedical engineers, national health authorities (Ministries of health), regulatory bodies
Anticipated Result:	Best practices on radiation safety
Key partners:	Regulatory and accreditation bodies
Alignment:	BONN-CALL FOR ACTION 1, 2, 4, 8 AFROSAFE DECLARATION 1, 3, 4 and 9

Main Objective: 2	Safe and appropriate use of ionizing radiation in medicine	
Specific objective f)	To recognize the role of medical physicists in healthcare	
Goal	Established scheme of service for medical physicists in healthcare	
Reference clause	Bonn call of action	
Performance Measures/indicators:	Number of countries with adopted schemes of service	
Background/ methodology:	Lobby governments to recognize the role of medical physicists Develop and adopt schemes of service	
Activities and	ACTIVITY TIMELINE	
timeline:	Advocacy through professional bodies/ Regulatory bodies	6 months
	Stakeholders meeting to develop a policy	6 months
	Develop and recommend schemes of service through relevant institutions	12 months
	M&E	periodic
Resources Required:	Meeting logistics Workshops CMEs	



Lead Organization:	FAMPO
Anticipated Result:	Schemes of service in place
Key partners:	Ministry of health, Health institutions
Alignment:	Bonn-call for action 1, 2, 4, 8 AFROSAFE declaration 1, 3, 4 and 9



Main objective: 3	Enhance global information and help improve the benefit/risk dialogue with patients and the public	
Specific objectives a and b:	<ul> <li>a) Improve data collection on medical exposures through local and national periodic surveys</li> <li>b) Regular reporting of radiation dose analyses in publications, seminars and conferences</li> </ul>	
Goal:	Encourage documentation of radiation doses Disseminate radiation dose analyses through publications and conferences	
Reference clause:	Bonn call for action item 6 and 9	
Performance measures/indicators:	<ol> <li>Number of institutions that have developed DRLs</li> <li>Number of forums to Disseminate information on radiation dose analyses</li> <li>Number of published documents on radiation safety available to the patients and general public</li> </ol>	
Background / methodology:	Periodic local and national surveys of all institutions for compliance tracking     Develop dissemination platform     Publish developed DRLs	
Activities and	ACTIVITY	TIMELINE
timeline:	Periodic local and national surveys of all institutions for compliance trackin	Every 3-6 months
	Develop dissemination platform	On-going
	Publish developed DRLs	periodic
Resource required:	Human resource Funded budget ICT platform	
Lead organization :	Professional societies, bodies, regulatory bodies and relevant government agencies	
Other organizations:	Regulatory bodies and relevant government agencies	
Anticipated results:	Increase in the number of compliant institutions Varied dissemination forums Publications on DRLs	



Key partners:	IAEA, WHO, Government agencies, regulatory bodies
Alignment:	BONN CALL FOR ACTION 6, 9 and health policies in host countries AFROSAFE DECLARATION 2 and 7

Main objective: 3	Enhance global information and help improve the benefit/risk dialogue with patients and the public	
Specific objective c & d:	c) To create Awareness of radiation benefits and risks through public lectures, print and electronic media d) Improve communication skills of health professionals and support benefit/risk dialogue between referring and radiological medical practitioners and between these two groups and the patients and public) e) Engage public and patients in benefit/risk dialogue through Patient-for-Patient Safety organizations	
Goal:	To develop systems and tools that foster benefit – risk dialogue with patients and the public	
Reference clause:	Bonn call for action item 6 and 9	
Performance measures/indicators:	<ol> <li>Number of forums to Disseminate information on benefit-risk.</li> <li>Frequency of consultations on benefit and risk between referring and radiological practitioners</li> <li>Frequency of engagements between referrers, radiological practitioners and public/patient for patient safety initiatives.</li> <li>Level of behavioural change</li> </ol>	
Background / methodology:	<ol> <li>Adapt and adopt WHO,I CRP, IAEA, ESR, Image gently publications on benefit-risk of patients and the public</li> <li>Develop a communication strategy on radiation benefit-risk</li> <li>To carry out capacity building forums on radiation benefits communication</li> </ol>	



	4. To carry out focussed awareness campaigns to the patients and public on benefit /risk of radiation use in healthcare.  5. Benchmark with existing benefit-risk practices	
Activities and	ACTIVITY	TIMELINE
timeline:	Adapt and adopt existing publications, and develop communication strategies on benefit risk communication	6-12 months
	Develop a tool for assessing behavioural change	6 months
	Capacity building forums on radiation benefits communication	continuous
	Conduct harmonized dissemination of information on radiation safety	continuous
	Benchmark with existing benefit-risk practices	periodic
Resource required:	Human resource Funded budget	
Lead organisation:	Professional bodies, regulatory bodies and relevant government agencies, patient for patient safety initiatives	
Anticipated results:	Published and available promotional /     awareness materials     Behavioural change towards radiation safety     Increased public awareness on radiation safety	
Key partners:	IAEA, WHO, Government agencies, regulatory bodies and patient-interest groups	
Alignment:	Bonn call for action 6, 9 and health policies in host countries AFROSAFE declaration 2, 6 and 7	



Main Objective: 4	To enhance the safety and quality of radiological procedures in medicine	
Specific objective	<ul> <li>a) Correlate image quality to clinical-specific facility protocols</li> <li>b) Establish and update diagnostic reference levels (DRLs) for adults and children</li> <li>c) Document patients' radiation doses in all procedures in order to develop dose data (radiation dose tracking)</li> <li>d) Implement regular audit of imaging techniques and treatment procedures</li> <li>e) Institute emergency preparedness measures</li> </ul>	
Goal	To ensure that all radiological procedures and examinations are justified and optimized Developed protocol-auditing program Developed dose reference levels for all diagnostic and therapeutic procedures Available protocols for radiation-related emergencies	
Reference clause	Bonn Call for Action 2	
Performance Measures/indicators:	Number of facilities using developed clinical specific protocols Number of countries with national DRLs for adult and pediatric examinations Reliable records of patient doses Evidence of scheduled audits Protocols on emergency preparedness	
Background/ methodology:	Professional bodies to develop guidelines on clinical protocols Facilities to develop clinical specific protocols Adapt and adopt standards for image quality analysis which are protocol specific Develop a schedule for regular audits Recording of radiation dose for each examination Analysis of the radiation doses and comparison with guidelines from other countries Instituting measures to reduce radiation dose where necessary	



	Develop protocols for radiation-related emergencies	
Activities and	ACTIVITY	TIMELINE
timeline	Professional bodies to develop guidelines on clinical protocols	12 months
	Facilities to develop clinical specific protocols	12 Months
	Adapt and adopt standards for image quality analysis which are protocol specific	12 Months
	Develop a schedule for regular audits	12-18 months
	Maintain a record of patient doses for each procedure or examination	continuous
	Analysis of the radiation doses and comparison with guidelines from other countries	periodically
	Instituting measures to reduce radiation dose where necessary	continuous
	Develop protocols for radiation-related emergencies	12 months
Resources Required:	Human resource Funded budgets ICT platform	
Lead Organization:	Professional bodies Regulatory bodies , National Health Authorities, research and training institutions, FAMPO, ASR, fSPI, ISRRT	
Anticipated Result:	Clinical specific protocols developed National DRLs Record of patient doses Register of scheduled audits Decline in Image-reject analysis values	
Key partners:	IAEA, WHO, regulatory bodies, training institutions, friends of AFROSAFE	
Alignment:	Bonn call for Action 2 AFROSAFE DECLARATION 1, 2, 3, 4 and	9



Main Objective: 4	To enhance the safety and quality of radiological procedures in medicine	
Specific objective	a) Establish a reporting mechanism of incidents and accidents in radiation protection	
Goal	To ensure that all incidents and accidents are reported	
Reference clause	Bonn Call for Action 7	
Performance Measures/indicators:	·	
Background/ methodology :	Reports made to RASIMS on incidents/accidents  Keep a register of incidents and accidents in radiation protection	
Activities and	ACTIVITY	TIMELINE
timelines	Keep a register of incidents and accidents in radiation protection	Continuous
Resources Required:	Human resource ICT platform	
Lead Organization:	Health Facilities,	
Other organizations:	Regulatory authorities, , ASR, ISRRT, FAMPO , AfSPI,	
Anticipated Result:	Updated National Registry of incidents and accidents in radiation protection	
Key partners:	IAEA, WHO, ESR, WFPI, RAD-AID.ORG,ICRP, Image Gently, UNSCEAR, IOMP, IRPA, training institutions, friends of AFROSAFE	
Alignment:	Bonn call for Action 7 AFROSAFE declaration 9	



Main Objective: 5	To encourage safety in diagnostic and therapeutic equipment and facilities	
Specific objectives	<ul> <li>a) Advocate for procurement procedures as per national regulation</li> <li>b) Regular maintenance and calibration of equipment</li> <li>c) Promote radiation safety in facility layout</li> <li>d) Ensure safety features in radiation equipment</li> </ul>	
Goal	To ensure that the radiological facilities and equipment adhere to radiation safety standards	
Reference clause	Bonn Call for Action 3, 8 and 10	
Performance Measures/indicators:	Published templates on procurement Developed Procedures for calibration and maintenance of equipment Number of radiological facilities and equipment that meet radiation safety standards Number of radiation equipment with safety features in each facility	
Background/ methodology :	Procurement cycle involves process of writing specifications, purchase, installation, commissioning and maintenance, decommissioning and boarding off. Safety must be a priority throughout the entire cycle. All stakeholders including medical physicists should be involved in ensuring a safety-responsive procurement cycle and in the development and implementation of QA/QC programs for diagnostic and therapeutic radiation medicine procedures.	
Activities and	ACTIVITY	TIMELINE
timeline	To advocate for the implementation of procurement procedures	Continuous
	To develop procedures for calibration and maintenance of equipment	One year
	To document radiological facilities and equipment that meet radiation safety standards	continuous
	Monitoring and evaluation	periodic



Resources Required:	Human resource Funds
Lead Organization:	FAMPO
Other organizations	ASR, ISRRT, AfSPI, National Health authorities, biomedical engineers, regulatory bodies
Anticipated Result:	Report on QUALITY CONTROL in diagnostic and therapeutic procedures
Key partners	Health facilities, radiation regulatory bodies, standards bodies, professional bodies
Alignment:	Bonn call for action 3, 8 and 10 AFROSAFE declaration 1 and 5



Main Objective: 6	Promote research in radiation protection and safety		
Specific objective	Prioritize research in radiation safety at national and institutional level     Participate in the development of global and regional strategic research agendas on radiation safety in medicine		
Goal	To promote research that addresses continental radiation safety needs and challenges		
Reference clause	Bonn-call-for-action 5		
Performance - Measures/indicators:	Safety-responsive national, regional and continental research agendas  - Number of on-going research (short term)  - Number of published articles (long term)		
Background/ methodology :	<ul> <li>Needs assessment on radiation safety</li> <li>Develop a database of participating institutions (training, research, hospitals)</li> </ul>		
Activities and	ACTIVITY	TIMELINE	
timeline	Needs assessment; survey of leading/ participating institutions	12 months	
	Capacity building in radiation safety issues and research proposal development	periodic	
	Develop a dissemination platform (newsletter, journal, website etc)	12 months	
	Lobby for protected time for research from Employers	continuous	
	Conduct regular team meetings for status report	continuous	
Resources Required:	Funds for needs assessment, capacity-building workshop and developing dissemination fora		
Lead Organization:	Training institutions: universities and colleges, research and health institutions		
Other organizations	ASR, FAMPO, AfSPI, ISRRT		



Anticipated Result:	National DRLs, Published research in peer-reviewed journals/ newsletters Dissemination of research findings in different forums
Key partners	WHO, IAEA, WFPI, EuroSafe, ESR, ISR, ISRRT, IOMP, Image Gently, IRPA, ICRP
Alignment:	Bonn-call-for action 5 AFROSAFE declaration 6 and 8

## AFROSAFE IMPLEMENTATION TOOL

Main Objective: 6	Promote research in radiation protection and safety	
Specific objective	Lobby for increased research funding in radiation safety	
Goal	Funded research	
Reference clause	Bonn-call-for action 5	
Performance Measures/indicators:	Numbers of funded research Number of funded workshops/conferences on radiation safety Number of grants in radiation safety	
Background/ methodology :	Facilitate researchers in acquisition of research funds through lobbying for research funding from partners, collaborators and friends of AFROSAFE	
Activities and	ACTIVITY	TIMELINE
timeline	Lobby for budget reviews	continuous
	Proposal development	continuous
	Develop a policy document on fund administration	12 months
	Research for existing/ available grants in radiation safety	Continuous
	Database of funding organizations and their funding priorities/ interests	Continuous
Resources Required:	Human resource Funding	



Lead Organization:	Training institutions: universities and colleges, research and health institutions
Other organizations	ASR,FAMPO, ISRRT, AfSPI
Anticipated Result:	Study/research report
Key partners	IAEA, WHO, ESR, ISR, WFPI, RAD-AID.ORG, Image Gently, UNSCEAR, IOMP, IRPA, ICRP
Alignment:	Bonn-call-for action 5 AFROSAFE declaration 6 and 8



## **Appendix**

#### REFERENCES

- World Health Organization (2008) Global Initiative on Radiation Safety in Healthcare Settings, Technical Meeting Report, WHO Headquarters, Geneva
  - http://www.who.int/ionizing\_radiation/about/GI\_TM\_Report\_2008\_ Dec.pdf
- 2. American Cancer Society (2015) X-rays, Gamma Rays, and Cancer Risk, www.cancer.org.
  - http://www.cancer.org/cancer/cancercauses/radiationexposure-andcancer/xraysgammaraysandcancerrisk/x-rays-gamma-rays-and-cancer-risk-do-xrays-and-gamma-rays-cause-cancer
- Rehani MM. Challenges in radiation protection of patients for the 21st century. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2013 April; 200(4): 762-764 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23521444
- Bonn Call for Action http://www.who.int/ionizing\_radiation/medical\_exposure/Bonn\_call\_ action.pdf
- EuroSafe Imaging
   http://www.eurosafeimaging.org/about
- Launching of AFROSAFE: Radiation Protection of patients https://rpop.iaea.org/RPOP/RPoP/Content/News/launching-afrosafe.htm





## Championing radiation safety

We, the radiation health workers of Africa declare that we shall, through the creation of the AFROSAFE campaign, promote the safe and beneficial use of radiation through the following actions:

- 1. Ensuring that benefits outweigh risks in all radiological medical procedures
- 2. Fostering regular radiation dose monitoring, recording and reporting
- 3. Campaign for the establishment and implementation of regulations to standardize the practice of radiation health workers
- 4. Promote and assist in the development of policies, guidelines and appropriate criteria for the safe use of radiation in health care at all levels
- 5. Advise and advocate for the establishment of procurement procedures of radiological equipment as per national guidelines
- 6. Promote and assist in the conducting of regular professional development and training on radiation safety
- 7. Facilitate for the creation of public awareness on radiation safety
- 8. Promote research in radiation safety and lobby for funding
- Stimulate and uphold the development of a radiation safety culture in health care settings

Prof. M. Kawooya

**ASR Secretary** 

Dr R. Nyabanda

**PACORI President 2015** 

Dr. Taofeeq Ige

FAMPO Sec-General



## List of participating institutions and professional bodies

- 1. Ministry of Health-Kenya (MoH)
- 2. Kenyatta National Hospital (KNH)
- 3. University of Nairobi, College of Health Sciences (UoN-CHS)
- 4. Radiation Protection Board (RBP)
- 5. Chemical Biological Radiological and Nuclear (CBRN)
- 6. Pan African Congress of Radiology and Imaging (PACORI)
- 7. Kenya Association of Radiology (KAR)
- 8. Society of Radiographers in Kenya (SORK)
- 9. African Society of Radiology (ASR)
- International Society of Radiographers and Radiological Technologists (ISRRT)
- 11. East African Association of Radiation Protection (EAARP)
- 12. National Council of Science and Technology (NACOSTI)
- 13. Kenya Bureau of Standards (KEBS)
- 14. African Society of Paediatric Imaging (AfSPI)

























